

100?30[14]100?110?
[15]100?11?[16]

??????????

60?100?

100?1?

????????????

ALARA?As Low As Reasonably Achievable?[17]

[18?19]ALARA?

?-????????

UNSCEAR, ICRP, WHO, IAEA [20]ALARA?

ALARA?

????

????

[1] Radiation and Reason: www.radiationandreason.com

- [2] [BBC Viewpoint: We should stop running away from radiation?](#)
- [3] [WHO](#)
- [4]
- [5]
- [6] Ichiseki, H. *Lancet* 381, 204, (2013)
- [7] Yasumura, S. et al. *Public Health* 127, 186-188, (2013)
- [8] [IAEA](#)
- [9] [IAEA](#)
- [10]
- [11]
- [12] [200205](#)
- [13] [BMJ](#)
- [14] [2009](#)
- [15] [2009 Wikipedia](#)
- [16] [2009 FEMA](#)
- [17] [Toxicologist Says NAS Panel ‘Misled the World’ When Adopting Radiation Exposure Guidelines?](#)
- [18] Chaplin, K Senior inspector in the nuclear industry “I am watching as radiological protection dogma, in particular ALARA, stops the nuclear industry dead in its tracks. It is hard to prevent this, but I am trying” Extract from an email of Dec 2012, quoted with [permission](#)
- [19] Iskayn, H. Design Engineer “For the nuclear regulatory death by hot gas was satisfactory so long as the body could be buried without radiation restrictions. Accordingly, the design stressed radiation ALARA and had almost no concern about the hazard of hot gas.” Extract from a post on LinkedIn Nuclear Safety Group, 20 May 2013, quoted with permission
- [20] United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation, International Commission for Radiological Protection, World Health Organisation, International Atomic Energy Agency. There are others, as well as many influential national committees.
- 20131021

This entry was posted on Monday, October 21st, 2013 at 2:30 pm and is filed under ,
 You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Responses are currently closed, but you can [trackback](#) from your own site.